

## THE COURTS.

Deputy Sheriff Nash, on an order of arrest, issued by Judge Spaulding, of the Marine Court, yesterday took into custody Antonio M. Sato, Jr., editor and publisher of the *Free Lance*, against whom suit has been commenced by Mr. Patrick H. Maguire, a clerk of the Common Council, whom he is alleged to libel. The alleged libelous article sets forth that Mr. Maguire had been convicted of pocket-picking, and had stolen furs from Mrs. Murphy and a Mrs. Cogswell. The amount of damages claimed is \$20,000, and has been fixed at \$10,000.

Justinian Hartley, a commission merchant of No. 24 Walker street, was arrested yesterday on an affidavit made by District Attorney Bliss, charging him with complicity with Lawrence De Graaf, Des Anges and others in the smuggling of silk. He was held by Commissioner Davenport for \$20,000 bail.

In the habeas corpus proceedings instituted by Mrs. Ida Maud Templeton to recover possession of her child now in the care of Colonel William G. Templeton, his grandfather, there was a further hearing yesterday before Judge Deacone, of the Supreme Court.

In the General Term of the Court of Common Pleas, before Judges Day, Larremore and Robinson, an interesting case has just been argued, growing out of a suit brought by Robert Ogden Doremus against the Corporation. The Professor was employed by one of the coroners, with the concurrence of the District Attorney, to investigate suspicions of a felonious death by poison. Decision was reserved.

The will of the late Oliver Charlick was admitted to probate yesterday by Surrogate Blutchins. The value of the estate is not stated. The will was executed November 20, 1874—one of the executors named being Mayor Havemeyer, since deceased. The other executors are George M. Quinard and Aaron J. Vanderpool, and executrix, the widow, Jane M. Charlick. The interest on \$2,160 is to be applied to the benefit of James Davis, a porter and messenger for several years of the deceased, and the interest of a like sum to the use and benefit of James Hurd, a veteran coachman of the deceased. Two small items to family servants, provided for in a codicil, embrace the only bequests made by the testator outside of his family.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT—  
IN BANKRUPTCY.

Voluntary petitions in bankruptcy have been granted in the following cases:—Harvey S. Calum, Edmund Unkart, Margaret Ross, Jacob H. Henshaw, Michael S. Russell, Nathan Harper, William M. Hoge, Jacob M. Brouwer, Alfred C. Boutin, Jr. DISCHARGERS.

Benjamin L. Soule, Amos M. Lyon, Robert Miller, E. C. Cain, Walter B. Whiting, Ammon & Co., TRUSTEES.

Benton H. Veasey, Harrison & Asten, William N. Throckmorton Wills.

DECISIONS.

By Judge Lawrence.

Tertius vs. Bishop.—I cannot grant the amount asked for until I have the full title of the action before me. At present only a portion of the defendant's names are given.

McMullan vs. McMullan.—The certificate of the defendant should be submitted to the reporter.

Young vs. Young.—In this case I require the proof of the service by publication and all the papers on which the order of reference was based.

Leake vs. Bishop.—I cannot grant the amount asked for until I have the full title of the action before me. At present only a portion of the defendant's names are given.

McMullan vs. McMullan.—The certificate of the defendant should be submitted to the reporter.

Young vs. Young.—In this case I require the proof of the service by publication and all the papers on which the order of reference was based.

Williams vs. O'Neill.—The decree or order submitted is imperfect. See last page.

Ward vs. Ward.—The report and record confirmed and decree of divorce granted and custody of the child awarded to plaintiff.

In the matter of Lehman.—Memorandum for record.

Mundorf vs. Mundorf.—An allowance of \$200 granted to the plaintiff.

Barnes vs. Ludlam.—The application should be made in King's County.

Leake vs. Leake.—The deposition of Peter Bostrom is not verified.

In the matter of LaFarge.—Before granting the order of reference asked for I require an affidavit showing what, if any, relations of the human have been established between the parties so that proper notice may be served upon them.

Brant vs. De Forest.—The full title of this case not having been given in any of the papers submitted, I cannot determine whether the defendant is signed by the attorneys for all the parties. Some paper must be submitted which will show the full title, and also show that all the attorneys have consented to the entry of the process.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New York and may come to New York to answer the same. I will grant an order of arrest of process; seconded that said order be served on or before the 26th inst.; third, that a reference of all the issues be made to the Hon. J. R. Bowditch, and reference to proceed on five days' notice. The trial date will be set by the court. It is to be noted that the cause must be tried in New York.

Bred vs. Allen.—To prevent any misunderstanding of the rights of the parties I will grant an order allowing the defendant to foreclose the mortgage as reformed, notwithstanding the provision of the order and discharging said order to the satisfaction of the court.

Hayles vs. Kiersted.—The defendants may serve their process in New